3C-SiC Films on Si for MEMS Applications: Mechanical Properties
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Abstract. Single crystal 3C-SiC films were grown on (100) and (111) Si substrate orientations in order to study the resulting mechanical properties of this material. In addition, poly-crystalline 3C-SiC was also grown on (100)Si so that a comparison with monocrystalline 3C-SiC, also grown on (100)Si, could be made. The mechanical properties of single crystal and polycrystalline 3C-SiC films grown on Si substrates were measured by means of nanoindentation using a Berkovich diamond tip. These results indicate that polycrystalline SiC thin films are attractive for MEMS applications when compared with the single crystal 3C-SiC, which is promising since growing single crystal 3C-SiC films is more challenging. MEMS cantilevers and membranes fabricated from a 2 µm thick single crystal 3C-SiC grown on (100)Si under similar conditions resulted in a small degree of bow with only 9 µm of deflection for a cantilever of 700 µm length with an estimated tensile film stress of 300 MPa. Single crystal 3C-SiC films on (111)Si substrates have the highest elastic and plastic properties, although due to high residual stress they tend to crack and delaminate.

Introduction

There is intense interest in developing robust micro-electrical-mechanical systems (MEMS) for harsh applications such as chemical and biological sensors, advanced space actuators, etc. While silicon has been the primary material for MEMS applications, limitations in the temperature of operation of this material and the lack of a chemically inert surface has resulted in the development of alternate materials for these challenging applications [1]. The high hardness and chemical inertness of SiC, coupled with its very high operating temperatures, has resulted in a high level of interest in developing SiC MEMS devices, with numerous groups around the world reporting progress with both the hexagonal and cubic polytypes of SiC [2,3]. However, the ability to grow the cubic form of SiC, namely 3C-SiC, on Si offers both a low-cost alternative for SiC MEMS coupled with the prospect to use well developed Si micromachining technology and processing to realize advanced, highly robust SiC MEMS devices [4].

While SiC is potentially an ideal material for power MEMS applications, deposition and processing techniques must be mastered before a functioning device can actually be built. It is advantageous to deposit SiC on Si wafers due to their high quality and low cost, in comparison to SiC substrates [5]. However, one has to overcome the ~22% lattice mismatch between the 3C-SiC film and the (100) Si substrate, and after growth the 8% mismatch in thermal expansion [6]. Any temperature variation will cause additional stress. Also, 3C-SiC films grown on (111) Si wafers have a larger residual stress than films grown on (100). 3C-SiC can be hetero-epitaxially grown on a highly defective SiC buffer layers formed during the carbonization step.

Film growth

Single crystal (3C-SiC) and polycrystalline (poly-3C-SiC) SiC samples were grown on Si in order to study and compare the mechanical properties of the grown films. The samples were grown heteroepitaxially via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) in a hot-wall reactor [7]. Two sets of experiments were performed. The first was to compare single-crystal with polycrystalline 3C-SiC films grown on Si substrates were (100)Si, could be made. SiC was also grown on (100)Si so that a comparison with monocrystaline 3C-SiC, also grown on (100)Si, could be made. The mechanical properties of single crystal and polycrystalline 3C-SiC films grown on Si substrates were measured by means of nanoindentation using a Berkovich diamond tip. These results indicate that polycrystalline SiC thin films are attractive for MEMS applications when compared with the single crystal 3C-SiC, which is promising since growing single crystal 3C-SiC films is more challenging. MEMS cantilevers and membranes fabricated from a 2 µm thick single crystal 3C-SiC grown on (100)Si under similar conditions resulted in a small degree of bow with only 9 µm of deflection for a cantilever of 700 µm length with an estimated tensile film stress of 300 MPa. Single crystal 3C-SiC films on (111)Si substrates have the highest elastic and plastic properties, although due to high residual stress they tend to crack and delaminate.

Introduction

There is intense interest in developing robust micro-electrical-mechanical systems (MEMS) for harsh applications such as chemical and biological sensors, advanced space actuators, etc. While silicon has been the primary material for MEMS applications, limitations in the temperature of operation of this material and the lack of a chemically inert surface has resulted in the development of alternate materials for these challenging applications [1]. The high hardness and chemical inertness of SiC, coupled with its very high operating temperatures, has resulted in a high level of interest in developing SiC MEMS devices, with numerous groups around the world reporting progress with both the hexagonal and cubic polytypes of SiC [2,3]. However, the ability to grow the cubic form of SiC, namely 3C-SiC, on Si offers both a low-cost alternative for SiC MEMS coupled with the prospect to use well developed Si micromachining technology and processing to realize advanced, highly robust SiC MEMS devices [4].

While SiC is potentially an ideal material for power MEMS applications, deposition and processing techniques must be mastered before a functioning device can actually be built. It is advantageous to deposit SiC on Si wafers due to their high quality and low cost, in comparison to SiC substrates [5]. However, one has to overcome the ~22% lattice mismatch between the 3C-SiC film and the (100) Si substrate, and after growth the 8% mismatch in thermal expansion [6]. Any temperature variation will cause additional stress. Also, 3C-SiC films grown on (111) Si wafers have a larger residual stress than films grown on (100). 3C-SiC can be hetero-epitaxially grown on a highly defective SiC buffer layers formed during the carbonization step.
and these experiments. The film thickness of these samples was around 1-2 µm. The orientation of
the single crystal SiC film was both (100) and (111), corresponding to the Si substrate orientation.
The second set of experiments was conducted to assess the uniformity of the grown films over a 50
mm wafer diameter. For these second experiments additional analysis, via evaluation of the wafer
bow induced by the grown film, was conducted in order to estimate the residual stress in the films.
In addition a 2 µm 3C-SiC film grown on a 50 mm diameter (100)Si substrate was micromachined
in order to assess the film stress, however this research is the subject of another paper in this
conference [8]; therefore a comparison of this research with the present mechanical properties is
made to provide a more comprehensive view of the perspective for using the films analyzed here for
advanced MEMS applications.

Table 1 Single and poly crystalline 3C-SiC sample parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Film Type</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Carbonization</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Thickness1) [µm]</th>
<th>RC FWHM2) [arcsec]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC3)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC3)</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly5)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC3)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC3)</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Measured in wafer center via FTIR, 2) Measured with Philips X’pert diffractometer
3) Film grown on a 8 mm x 10 mm die, 4) Film grown on 50 mm wafer and measured as per [10].

3C-SiC single crystal films were grown on a 50 mm diameter Si wafers using a hot-wall CVD
process without wafer rotation. Details of both the reactor and the growth process can be found
elsewhere [9]. The 3C-SiC on Si deposition process was developed using the two step carbonization
and growth method. C3H8 and SiH4 were used as the precursor gases to provide carbon and silicon,
respectively. Ultra-high purity hydrogen, purified in a palladium diffusion cell, was employed as the
carrier gas. Prior to growth, the Si substrates were prepared using the standard RCA cleaning
method, followed by a 30 second immersion in diluted hydrofluoric acid to remove surface
contaminants and the surface oxide. The first stage of the process, known as the carbonization step,
involved heating the Si substrate from room temperature to the 1135 °C carbonization temperature.
The temperature was then maintained for two minutes to carbonize the substrate surface. After
carbonization, SiH4 was introduced into the gas stream and the temperature was increased to the
growth temperature. Using this process, a 2 µm thick 3C-SiC film was grown. For the 3C-SiC films
grown on (100)Si, the growth step was conducted at a process pressure of 100 Torr while the films
grown on (111)Si was conducted at 400 Torr. After the growth process was completed, the wafer
was cooled to room temperature in an Ar atmosphere. After deposition the film thickness was
measured by Fourier transform infrared reflectance spectroscopy (FTIR) and confirmed by cross-
section scanning electron microscopy analysis. The crystal orientation of the grown film was
determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips X-Pert X-ray diffractometer. XRD data
confirmed that the films were single crystal with an X-ray rocking curve FWHM of approximately
300 arcsec for the (100) and (111) orientations. Details of these films are presented in Table 1.

Polycrystalline growth follows the same procedure as single crystal growth on (100) Si with the
exception of a higher flux of the growth species. The process conditions for the samples studied
here were therefore identical to those listed above except that the SiH4 and C3H8 mass flow rates
were 300 and 8.5 sccm, respectively. This process resulted in a poly-3C-SiC film with a thickness
of approximately 4 µm. This film was then thinned so that the thickness was comparable to the
single crystal films. The sample was polished on a polishing pad using 1 µm diamond paste to both
thin down the film thickness to match the single crystal film thickness and to smooth out the film
surface roughness; the resulting film thickness was equivalent to the single crystal SiC film (1-2
µm).
Mechanical Properties

In the case of 3C-SiC films grown on (111)Si, a significant degree of wafer bow was present and visible to the naked eye. A comparison of two films grown with comparable processes as described above, also on 50 mm wafers, where the wafer bow was measured with an optical microscope and the stress calculated using the modified Stoney equation as performed previously on our films by Volinsky et al. [10]. This allowed a direct comparison of (100) and (111) oriented films grown on 2 inch wafer. For a film thickness of 2 μm the radius of curvature was 15625 and 2084 mm resulting in a calculate tensile stress of 0.27 and 2.05 GPa for these orientations, respectively. For the non-uniform (approximately 1-4 μm thick) 3C-SiC film on a 50 mm Si wafer the film stress varies from 0.2 to 0.4 GPa (higher on the thinner side).

Nanoindentation experiments were performed using a Hysitron Triboindenter. A Berkovich indenter was used to perform all indentation tests. Load controlled indentations were done to determine the elastic modulus and hardness of the films. Details of the technique and experimental procedure as applied to SiC films are in the literature [11]. Prior to measurement the samples were cleaved and glued to the sample holders using super glue and placed on the indenter stage for measurements. Each indent was performed individually after scanning the sample surface to ensure its smoothness. The low load transducer (maximum load of 10 mN) was used to determine the elastic modulus and hardness of the 3C-SiC films. The experiment was carried out at loads varying from 0.5 to 10 mN. To determine the fracture toughness (K), the low load transducer was replaced with a high load transducer. The above mentioned indentation procedure was followed at higher loads ranging from 100 to 1500 mN. The resulting measured mechanical properties are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Measured SiC mechanical properties.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Hardness [GPa]</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus [GPa]</th>
<th>Fracture toughness [MPa-m⁰/²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(100)Si</td>
<td>12.46 ± 0.78</td>
<td>172.13 ± 7.76</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lely platelet 15R-SiC</td>
<td>42.76 ± 1.19</td>
<td>442 ± 16.34</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC on (100)Si</td>
<td>31.198 ± 3.7</td>
<td>433 ± 50</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-SiC on (111)Si</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-3C-SiC on (100)Si</td>
<td>33.54 ± 3.3</td>
<td>457 ± 50</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Measured via nanoindentation using a Hysitron Triboindenter with a Berkovich indenter tip.
2) Crack lengths used to calculate the film fracture toughness using equation (1) from Volinsky et al. [10].

Reported are the average values of the measured hardness and modulus of elasticity where at least five indents were performed at each varying maximum load. 15R-SiC was used as a comparison since this material is known to have a minimum amount of dislocations. There is a relatively large absolute variation in measured elastic modulus (±50 GPa), not typically observed in indentation of softer materials. One has to consider the relative variation, which is typically on the order of 10%. The reported mechanical properties are for a maximum indentation depth range between 60 and 250 nm, where quartz calibration is reasonable. Wear tests on the single crystal 3C-SiC film were also performed in a 3 x 3 μm² area using the low load transducer at 2 μN normal load and 1 Hz frequency. After a number of wear cycles the scan area was zoomed to 5 x 5 μm to determine the wear. Almost no material wear was observed after 1000 wear cycles.

Load-controlled indentations were performed by varying maximum load, ranging from 0.5 to 10 mN. The load-displacement curves exhibited by each type of 3C-SiC material may be found in [11]. The hardest material of the two samples had less penetration depth of the tip into the sample surface and hence the graph showed less displacement for the same amount of load applied. From this analysis it can be inferred that at lower load both the single and polycrystalline samples made elastic contact with the diamond probe. At higher loads, varying from 5 to 10 mN, plastic deformation was observed in the film. For indentation performed at a load of 10 mN it was observed that the indenter penetrated more into the single crystal 3C-SiC film surface compared to that of the polycrystalline 3C-SiC film on (100)Si substrate. 3C-SiC films grown on (111)Si have
superior mechanical properties, although due to high residual stress they produce large wafer bow and tend to crack and delaminate.

At higher loads, cracks were observed along the sharp corners of the Berkovich tip and the crack length was used to calculate the film fracture toughness using equation (1) from reference [11]. Table 2 also gives the fracture toughness values. The cause for the low fracture toughness in this case compared to the bulk values is due to the tip penetrating into the Si substrate and substrate fracture skewing the results to a lower substrate fracture toughness value. Continuous wear tests were performed on the polycrystalline 3C-SiC surface showed very little or negligible wear, as only 1-2 nm of material depth was removed. This result confirms the high wear resistance of 3C-SiC films necessary for MEMS applications.
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