

Fall 2021
 Homework #9
 Due Tues., Nov. 16

University of South Florida
 Civil & Environmental Engineering
 J.A. Cunningham

Assignment for 2021: Complete problem 1. Then choose any 2 of the remaining problems.

1. (70 pts) *modified from a problem that I acquired from Paul Roberts, Stanford University*

ADVICE: Set up an Excel spreadsheet or a Matlab program for this problem, rather than doing it all by hand. This is not required, but I think it will make your life easier in the long run.

A hydraulic study of the flow characteristics of a reactor was conducted by injecting a pulse of NaCl tracer at the reactor inlet and measuring the concentration of Cl^- in the effluent. The reactor volume is 400 m^3 , the volumetric flow rate of water through the reactor is $10 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$, and 40 kg of Cl^- are injected in the tracer pulse. The Cl^- concentration in the influent water is negligible other than the pulse injection. The concentration of Cl^- in the reactor effluent is measured, and the following results are obtained.

time (min)	Cl^- conc. (g/m^3)	time (min)	Cl^- conc. (g/m^3)
0	0	60	45
10	2	70	25
20	50	80	10
30	95	90	3
40	95	100	0
50	70	110	0

- Estimate/calculate the theoretical average hydraulic residence time, \bar{t} , in the reactor. Hint: it's really easy. If you are making it difficult, you are doing it incorrectly.
- Calculate the zeroth moment, μ_0 , of the effluent concentration distribution. Use it to estimate the total mass of chloride that exits the reactor. Compare the recovered mass to the injected mass – are they close? Hint: use the trapezoidal rule or Simpson's rule to perform the integration. (Rectangle rule doesn't work that well – use trapezoidal or Simpson's.)

Once you know μ_0 , you can transform the concentration data C_{out} into E data, using $E = C/\mu_0$. This is useful because when we use E , we can calculate some important properties about the reactor.

- Estimate/calculate t_m , the average residence time of the tracer. How does it compare to the theoretical value of \bar{t} from part a? Hint: you can use either the C_{out} data or the E data to estimate t_m -- I gave you two different formulae in class for t_m , one using C_{out} and the other using E -- you should get the same answer either way. Use trapezoidal rule or Simpson's rule – I think Simpson's rule is a little better for this part.

1. continued

- d. Using equation 9-71 or 9-72 from your text, estimate/calculate σ^2 , the variance of the residence time distribution. This is also called the “normalized central second moment”. Simpson’s rule is probably better than trapezoidal rule for this part.
- e. Draw a graph of the *residence time distribution* (exit age distribution) of fluid in the reactor. You can either graph E vs t , or you can graph E_θ vs θ – you choose. Recall that the definition of E and the definition of E_θ are slightly different – they need to be different to ensure that the area under the curve equals 1 in either case. I did my graph in the fully normalized form, E_θ vs θ , but you can choose which way you want to do it.
- f. Make another graph of the *cumulative* residence time distribution (cumulative exit age distribution). If your graph from part (e) is E vs t , then make this graph F vs t . If your graph from part (e) is E_θ vs θ , then make this graph F vs θ . To calculate F , you’ll need to integrate C_{out} or E . For this part, trapezoidal rule actually works better than Simpson’s rule.
- g. On the graphs from parts (e) and (f), add in the residence time distributions you would expect for an ideal completely-mixed-flow reactor and for an ideal plug-flow reactor, each having the same average hydraulic residence time as the real reactor tested.
- h. What fraction of the fluid has a residence time of 20 minutes or less? By what time has 20% of the injected tracer left the reactor?
- i. Suppose we want to model our reactor as n CMFRs in series (tanks-in-series model), with a total residence time equal to t_m . (Each tank in the series has a residence time t_m/n .) Using equation 9-117, along with your answer from part (d), estimate n , the number of tanks that correspond to our reactor.
- j. Make a graph of E_θ vs θ for our reactor. Maybe you already did this in part (e) – if so, no problem, but make another one, because now we are going to add something to it. Using equation 9-111, along with your estimate of n from part (i), add a curve that corresponds to E_θ for the tanks-in-series model. Does the residence-time distribution for the tanks-in-series model look pretty close to the residence-time distribution for the real reactor? If so, then we can use the tanks-in-series model to estimate the behavior of our real reactor! (See part k, below.)
- k. Imagine that the reactor is operating at steady state, that a contaminant is entering the reactor with a concentration of 100 mg/L in the influent stream, that the contaminant undergoes first-order reaction in the reactor, and that the first-order reaction rate coefficient is 0.05 min^{-1} . Based on the tanks-in-series model, what effluent concentration would you expect from this reactor?
- l. What effluent concentration would you expect from an ideal plug-flow reactor with the same contaminant and the same hydraulic residence time? from an ideal completely-mixed-flow reactor? Does it appear that our real reactor behaves more like a CMFR or more like a PFR in terms of contaminant removal?

2. (15 points) This one is only for serious math nerds. Derive equation 9-109 or 9-111 in the text. If you don't really like taking integrals, then skip this one. I really like taking integrals, so I thought it was pretty fun.
3. (15 points) Answer problem 9-10 in the text.
4. (15 points) Answer problem 9-12 in the text. Where the problem says "segregated flow model," they mean that you should use equation 9-114. You can do that easily enough because you know $E(t)$ for an ideal CMFR. So using equation 9-114, along with the known formula for $E(t)$, you will derive a formula for C_{out} . Then, as the problem says, answer "how does this equation compare to that derived from a material balance written for a CMFR in the usual way?" – you will notice something very interesting! This problem is actually a really classic problem in reactor engineering. (Amazingly, it doesn't work for zero-order reactions, only for first-order reactions – I have been trying for years to figure out why it doesn't work for zero-order, and I haven't figured it out yet. But you don't have to do the zero-order case, only the first-order case, so don't worry about that.)
5. (15 points) Answer problem 9-17 in the text.
6. (15 points) We know the residence-time distributions for an ideal CMFR is $E(t) = (1/\bar{t}) \exp(-t/\bar{t})$, where \bar{t} is the theoretical residence time V/Q . We also know the residence-time distribution for an ideal PFR is $E(t) = \delta(t-\bar{t})$, where δ is the Dirac delta function.
 - a. For the ideal CMFR, show that the zeroth temporal moment of $E(t)$ is 1. That is, if you take the area under the whole $E(t)$ curve, it is 1. You don't have to show it for the ideal PFR, because we already know that is a property of the Dirac delta function, so it's a given.
 - b. For the ideal CMFR, show that the first temporal moment of $E(t)$ is \bar{t} . In other words, the residence-time distribution agrees with the fact that the average hydraulic residence time is \bar{t} . I think you'll probably have to integrate by parts. For the PFR, it is once again a property of the Dirac function, so it's a given and we don't have to derive it.
 - c. Find the second temporal moment (central) of $E(t)$ for the ideal CMFR and for the ideal PFR. In other words, find σ^2 for the CMFR and the PFR. This is a measure of the spread of the residence-time distribution.