### ENV 6438: Physical & Chemical Processes for Drinking Water Treatment Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of South Florida

#### Cunningham

Spring 2020

Homework #3

Due Wednesday, Feb. 12

Topic: Particles in water; Coagulation

(50 pts) adapted from a problem written by Paul Roberts, Stanford University
 A city pulls water from a nearby river for its source of drinking water. After a rainfall event,
 there is a lot of runoff of clay and silt particles into the river, and the water gets pretty turbid.
 The city analyzed the particle-size distribution and obtained the following data:

| $\Delta N$        |
|-------------------|
|                   |
| (particles/mL)    |
|                   |
| $1.8	imes10^{11}$ |
| $1.6	imes10^{10}$ |
| $4.3 	imes 10^8$  |
| $3.35 	imes 10^6$ |
| $2.6 	imes 10^4$  |
|                   |

The particles are flaky in shape. The shape can be approximated by a flat elliptical cylinder with thickness 1.0 nm, as in the figure shown at right. The particle sizes given in the table above correspond to the major axis of the ellipse; the minor axis is approximately half as long. The density of the particles is  $2.2 \text{ g/cm}^3 = 2200 \text{ kg/m}^3$ .

- a. For each of the five size ranges, compute the *sphericity*,  $\psi$ , of the particles. The sphericity is the ratio of the surface area of a sphere to the surface area of the particle, given that the sphere has the same volume as the particle. A sphericity of 1 means that the particle is a perfect sphere. Which size class is "most spherical"? Which is least? Do you think it would be acceptable to treat these particles as spheres? Hint: each size class spans a range of particle sizes and therefore does not correspond to just one exact size; you can use the *geometric mean* particle size as a good representative size for each size range.
- b. If you ran a test for total suspended solids (TSS) on this water, what concentration (mg/L) would you expect to measure? Which size class dominates the TSS measurement?

problem 1 continues  $\rightarrow$ 

# ENV 6438: Physical & Chemical Processes for Drinking Water Treatment Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of South Florida

# Cunningham

Spring 2020

#### 1. continued

- c. Estimate the total *surface area concentration* for the particles in units of  $m^2$  of surface area per L of water. Which size class dominates the surface area concentration?
- d. Suppose that the city wants to remove 99% of the particle mass during drinking water treatment. How much of each size class would have to be removed? Hint: large particles are more easily removed than small particles of the same density, so start by removing 100% of the largest size class, and then work your way down the size classes until you meet the goal of 99% overall removal.
- e. Estimate the dose (in units of mg/L) of alum that would be required to destabilize this particle suspension. Assume that destabilization requires 50% of the particle surface area to be covered by aluminum precipitate. Assume that the aluminum precipitate is in the form of spheres of hydroxoaluminum polymers, that these spheres have a molecular formula  $(Al_6(OH)_{15})^{3+}$ , and that the diameter of these spheres is 2.5 nm. [The aluminum precipitate is probably not really spherical particles, but let's just use that here to get a decent estimate.]
- f. How much alkalinity (expressed as mg/L of CaCO<sub>3</sub>) would be consumed by the alum dose you found in part e?
- g. For the given particle size distribution, estimate the empirical parameters A and  $\beta$  for the particle-size-distribution model

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = Ax^{-\beta}$$

where x is the particle size as indicated in the table above. Specify the units of A. Hint: use the data in the table to estimate dN/dx for each value of x, then graph the relationship, and ask Excel for a trendline. Or, if you like to do things the old-fashioned way (i.e., how we used to do it before Excel could give us a trendline), linearize the equation by taking logarithms, and then perform linear regression on the log-transformed equation.

2. (30 pts) Answer any three of problems 9-1, 9-2, 9-3, and 9-4 in the text book. In problems where you need to assume a temperature of the water, use 25 °C.

# ENV 6438: Physical & Chemical Processes for Drinking Water Treatment Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of South Florida

# Cunningham

Spring 2020

- 3. (20 pts) The city of Tampa uses ferric sulfate, Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, as its coagulant at the David Tippin drinking water plant (the city's main treatment plant). I believe it is also the coagulant used at the Surface Water Treatment Plant operated by Tampa Bay Water.
  - a. (10 pts) Answer question 9-5 in the Crittenden text book.
  - b. (10 pts) Assume that the  $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$  is added from a stock coagulant chemical that is 50%  $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$  by mass and has a specific gravity of 1.45. Calculate the molar concentration of Fe in the stock solution and the required coagulant feed rate (in L/min). Assume a flow rate of 60 million gallons per day (realistic for the Tippin plant).